lowed to acclimate to their environment for at least 7 days prior to use. Rats were housed individually in a temperature-controlled animal room maintained on a 12-h light-dark cycle. Laboratory rat chow and water were available at all times. Following decapitation with a guillotine, blood was collected in a beaker containing 0.5 mL of 0.25 M EDTA. Whole brains were immediately excised from the skull and dropped into liquid nitrogen within 1 min after decapitation. Frozen brains were homogenized by pulverization under liquid nitrogen with use of a ceramic mortar and pestle. Samples for the AChE assay were taken after weighed aliquots of the pulverized brain were placed in 10 mM sodium phosphate buffer ( pH 7.4 ) at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and dispersed with a Polytron homogenizer (Brinkmann Instruments).
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#### Abstract

There is reported the first four members of heteroarotinoids, the names of which are ethyl ( $E$ )-p-[2-(4,4-di methylthiochroman-6-yl)propenyl]benzoate (1b), ethyl ( $E$ )-p-[2-(4,4-dimethylchroman-6-yl)propenyl]benzoate (1c), ethyl ( $E$ )-p-[2-(4,4-dimethyl-1-oxothiochroman-6-yl)propenyl]benzoate (1d), and ( $E$ )-p-[2-(4,4-dimethylchroman6 -yl)propenyl]benzoic acid (1e). IR, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data have been recorded for each compound and support the structural assignments. To provide a firm basis for comparison purposes of future analogues, an X-ray analysis was performed on a single crystal of ethyl ( $E$ )-p-[2-(4,4-dimethylthiochroman-6-yl)propenyl]benzoate (1b) and a precursor 4,4-dimethylthiochroman-6-yl methyl ketone 1,1-dioxide (18). These data for the heteroarotinoid 1b revealed that the two aryl ring systems were nearly perpendicular in each of the two molecules present in the unit cell ( $86.37^{\circ}$ and $84.17^{\circ}$, respectively). The space group for both molecules was $P \overline{1}$ in triclinic systems. Unit cell dimensions (at $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) are as follows: for $1 \mathbf{b}, a=20.568$ (6) $\AA, b=14.760$ (3) $\AA, c=7.679(2) \AA, \alpha=113.33(2)^{\circ}, \beta=79.45(2)^{\circ}$, $\gamma=79.98(2)^{\circ}, Z=4$; for $18, a=9.292(5) \AA, b=9.291(5) \AA, c=7.951$ (3) $\AA, \alpha=102.16(3)^{\circ}, \beta=77.49(3)^{\circ}, \gamma$ $=79.60(4)^{\circ}, Z=2$. The sulfur-containing ring is in a distorted half-chair in $1 \mathbf{b}$ and the methyl carbon $\mathrm{C}(12)$ is shown to be trans to $\mathrm{H}(13)$ at the $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ bond. The biological activity of these arotinoids was determined in the tracheal organ culture assay and compared with trans-retinoic acid for ability to reverse keratinization in vitamin A deficient hamsters. The ester lb displayed activity about one-half log unit less than that of the reference while 1c and le had activity nearly one log until less than trans-retinoic acid. The sulfoxide was the least active of the heteroretinoids.


Retinoids (vitamin A and derivatives thereof) constitute a group of compounds of enormous current interest. ${ }^{2}$ The stimulus for this interest arises from observations that these compounds exhibit some antitumor activity ${ }^{3}$ and exert a preventive activity in models of chemical carcinogensis. ${ }^{2 c .3-5}$ Unfortunately, the use of natural retinoids in cancer chemotherapy has some disadvantages. With the exception of trans-retinoic acid, natural and retinoids are stored in the liver, and blood levels of the materials do not increase proportionately even after massive doses. ${ }^{6}$ Thus, it is difficult to achieve a good distribution and to deliver a retinoid to specific target sites. In addition, acute toxicity ${ }^{6}$ has been associated with high dosages of natural retinoids. This "hypervitaminosis A" limits clinical use

[^0]of such compounds. Modifications of the basic retinoid structure have been the subject of intensive effort recently. ${ }^{7}$
(1) Taken in part from the Ph.D. Dissertation, Oklahoma State University, May, 1983. Phillips Petroleum Fellow. Summer, 1982; Skinner Fellow, 1982-1983.
(2) (a). Wolf, G. Nutr. Rev. 1982, 40. 257. (b) Pawson, B. A. Ehmann, C. W.; Itri, L. M.; Sherman, M. I. J. Med. Chem. 1982, 25, 1269. (c) Peto, R.; Doll, R.; Buckley, J. D.; Sporn, M. B. Nature (London) 1981, 290, 201. (d) Peck, G. L. Gynecol. Oncol. 1981, 12, S331. (e) Sporn, M. B.; Newton, D. L. In "Inhibition of Tumor Induction and Development"; Zedeck, M.; Lipkin, M.. Ed.; Plenum Press: New York, 1981; pp 71-100. (f) Newton, D. L.; Henderson, W. R.: Sporn, M. B. "Structure-Activity Relationships of Retinoids"; National Cancer Institute, Revised Edition, February 26. 1980. (g) Sporn, M. B.; Newton, D. L. Fed. Proc., Fed. Am. Soc. Exp. Biol. 1979, 38, 2528. (h) Lasnitzki, J. Brit. J. Cancer 1976, 34, 239.


Scheme I


Systems with fused aryl rings (as in $1 \mathbf{a}^{5 n .8 \mathrm{a}}$ and related molecules ${ }^{8}$ ) have good potency ${ }^{9.10}$ (in a tracheal assay ${ }^{5 n}$ the
(3) (a) Davies, R. E. Cancer Res. 1967, 27, 237. (b) Chytil, F.; Ong, D. E. Nature (London) 1972, 260, 1976. (c) Moon, R. C.; Grubbs, C. J.; Sporn, M. B.; Goodman, D. G. Nature (London) 1977, 267, 620. (d) Verma, A. K.; Boutwell, R. K. Cancer Res. 1977 37, 2196. (e) Sporn, M. B.; Dunlop, N. M.; Newton, D. L.; Smith, J. M. Fed. Proc., Fed. Am. Soc. Exp. Biol. 1976, 35, 1332.
(4) Seifter, E.; Zisblatt, M.; Levine, N. Life Sci. 1973, 13, 145.
(5) For references on the effectiveness of retinoids to suppress or reverse the transformation of premalignant cells to a malignant state, see: (a) Sporn, M. B.; Newton, D. L.; Smith, J. M.; Acton, N.; Jacobson, A. E.; Brossi, A. In "Carcinogens; Identification and Mechanisms of Actions"; Griffin, A. C., Shaw, C. R., Eds.; Raven Press: New York, 1979; pp 441-453. (b) Reference 3c. (c) Sporn, M. B.; Dunlop, N. M.; Newton, D. L.; Henderson, W. R. Nature (London) 1976, 263, 100. (d) Sporn, M. B.; Newton, D. L. Fed. Proc., Fed. Am. Soc. Exp. Biol. 1979, 38, 2528. (e) Todaro, G. J.; DeLarco, J. E.; Sporn, M. B. Nature (London) 1978, 276, 272.

For references to show that retinoids can effect the regression of epithelial papillomas induced by carcinogens in animals, see: (f) Sporn, M. B.; Squire, R. A.; Brown, C. C.; Smith, J. M.; Wenk, M. L.; Springer, S. Science 1977, 195, 487. (g) Becci, P. J.; Thompson, H. J.; Grubbs, C. J.; Squire, R. A.; Brown, C. C.; Sporn, M. B.; Moon, R. C. Cancer Res. 1978, 38, 4663. (h) Bollag, W. Cancer Chemother. Rep. 1971, 55, 53. (i) Reference 3c.

For references that show the inhibitory prowess of retinoids against the tumor-promoting action of phorbol esters, see: ( j ) Verma, A. K.; Shapas, B. G.; Rice, H. M.; Boutwell, R. K. Cancer Res. 1979, 39, 419. (k) Verma, A. K.; Rice, H. M.; Shapas, B. G.; Boutwell, R. K. Cancer Res. 1978, 38, 793. (1) Verma, A. K.; Boutwell, R. K. Cancer Res. 1977, 37, 2196. (m) Verma, A. K.; Slage, T. J.; Wertz, T. W.; Mueller, G. C.; Boutwell, R. K. Cancer Res. 1980, 40, 2367. (n) Newton, D. L.; Henderson, W. R.; Sporn, M. B. Cancer Res. 1980, 40, 3413.

## Scheme II



Scheme III


Scheme IV

$\mathrm{ED}_{50}$ for 1 a was reported to be $1 \times 10^{-11} \mathrm{M}$ compared to an $\mathrm{ED}_{50}$ of $3 \times 10^{-11} \mathrm{M}$ for the standard trans-retinoic

Table I. Crystal Data for 18

| formula | $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$ | $\gamma$ | 79.60 (4) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| mol wt | 252.3 | volume | 631.5 (5) $\AA$ |
| Mo $\mathrm{K}_{\alpha}$ | 0.71069 A | $\mu$ (Mo K ${ }_{\alpha}$ ) | $2.38 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ |
| $a$ | 9.292 (5) | independent obs | 5373 |
| $b$ | 9.219 (5) | $R$ | 6.4\% |
| c | 7.951 (3) | space group | P1 |
| $\alpha$ | 102.16 (3) ${ }^{\circ}$ | $Z$ | 2 |
| $\beta$ | 77.49 (3) | $D_{\text {calcd }}$ | $1.327 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ |



Figure 1. ORTEP drawing for sulfone 18.
acid), but frequently these "arotinoids" have shown undesirable toxic properties. ${ }^{8}$ One objective of our work was to obtain heteroarotinoids which had increased hydrophilicity and lower lipophilicity with, hopefully, concomitant lower toxicity. Reported herein are the syntheses and selected pharmacological properties of heteroarotinoids $1 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{e}$. These structures also possess the $12-\mathrm{s}$-cis topology, which is a structural feature that appears to convey high activity in the chemoprophylaxis of epithelial cancer. ${ }^{5 n .11}$


1a. $x=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}: \mathrm{R} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}$
b. $X=S: R=\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}$
c. $X=O: R=\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}$
d. $X=S(O): R=C_{2} H_{5}$
e. $X=O: R=H$

Synthesis. Synthetic methodologies to prepare 1b and 1c are shown in Schemes I-III. Although our initial entry to obtain 7 utilized the left pathway of Scheme I, the pathway on the right gave comparable yields in less time and also served as an independent synthesis to confirm the structure. Oxidation of $\mathbf{1 b}$ with $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}$ in methanol-water

[^1]Table II. Bond Angles and Distances of 18

| angle | angle, deg | bond | bond distance, $\AA$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O1-S1-O2 | 117.4 (2) | S1-O1 | 1.443 (3) |
| O1-S1-C2 | 109.3 (2) | S1-02 | 1.447 (4) |
| O1-S1-C8' | 109.3 (2) | S1-C2 | 1.761 (4) |
| O2-S1-C2 | 110.1 (2) | S1-C8' | 1.767 (3) |
| O2-S1-C8' | 107.3 (2) | C2-C3 | 1.539 (5) |
| C2-S1-C8 | 102.2 (2) | C3-C4 | 1.535 (5) |
| S1-C2-C3 | 107.7 (2) | C4-C9 | 1.548 (5) |
| C2-C3-C4 | 113.5 (4) | C4-C10 | 1.546 (6) |
| C3-C4-C9 | 106.3 (3) | C4-C4' | 1.537 (4) |
| C3-C4-C10 | 110.3 (3) | C4'-C5 | 1.397 (4) |
| C3-C4-C4' | 112.3 (3) | C4'-C8 ${ }^{\prime}$ | 1.397 (4) |
| C9-C4-C10 | 110.1 (3) | C5-C6 | 1.394 (5) |
| C3-C4-C4' | 112.3 (3) | C6-C11 | 1.501 (4) |
| C9-C4-C4' | 110.4 (2) | C6-C7 | 1.399 (5) |
| C10-C4-C4 ${ }^{\prime}$ | 107.4 (3) | C7-C8 | 1.374 (4) |
| C4-C4'-C5 | 118.9 (3) | C8-C8' | 1.409 (5) |
| C4-C4'-C8' | 124.4 (2) | C11-C12 | 1.505 (6) |
| C5-C4'-C8' | 116.7 (3) | C11-O3 | 1.227 (5) |
| C6-C5-C4' | 121.8 (3) |  |  |
| C5-C6-C7 | 120.1 (3) |  |  |
| C5-C6-C11 | 121.7 (3) |  |  |
| C7-C6-C11 | 118.2 (3) |  |  |
| C6-C7-C8 | 119.6 (3) |  |  |
| C7-C8-C8' | 119.6 (3) |  |  |
| S1-C8'-C8 | 114.9 (2) |  |  |
| S1-C8'-C4' | 122.8 (2) |  |  |
| C8-C8'-C4' | 122.2 (2) |  |  |
| O3-C11-C12 | 121.5 (3) |  |  |
| O3-C11-C6 | 119.5 (3) |  |  |
| C6-C11-C12 | 119.0 (4) |  |  |

gave sulfoxide 1d. Saponification of ester 1c, followed by neutralization, gave acid 1 e.

Since ethyl 4 -formylbenzoate (15) was not available, a synthesis was effected as shown in Scheme IV (16 $\rightarrow 17$ $\rightarrow 15$ ). Crude acetate 17 could be stored (only some darkening and hydrolysis occurred) prior to conversion to 15. It was found convenient to hydrolyze the crude 17 to 15 without isolation of the former.

The structural configuration of 8a was determined via a single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis of the corresponding crystalline sulfone 18 (crystal data in Table I), confirming the position of the acetyl group. Sulfone 18

crystallizes in a centrosymmetric cell with one molecule per asymmetric unit. The hetero ring adopts a C2/C3 half-chair conformation unlike that observed in 19. ${ }^{12}$ The C-S bond lengths (Table II) involving $\mathrm{C}\left(8^{\prime}\right)$ [ $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ hybridized] and $\mathrm{C}(2)$ [ $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ hybridized] are nearly equal in 18 [ $\mathrm{S}-$ $\mathrm{C}\left(8^{\prime}\right), 1.767$ (3) $\AA$ and $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C}(2), 1.761$ (4) $\AA$ ] as in $19^{12}$ [1.766 (2) $\AA$ and 1.763 (2) $\AA$, respectively]. The $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{O}$ bonds in 18 are essentially equal in contrast to those in $19,{ }^{12}$ where a difference of $0.01 \AA$ was observed. Figure 1 is an ORTEP drawing of 18.

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectral data for $1 \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}$ are given in the Experimental Section. Certain common characteristics deserve mention. Signals for the vinyl proton in $1 \mathbf{b}-\mathrm{e}$ occurred as singlets at $\delta 6.82,6.77,6.80$, and 6.86 , respectively. In model $E$-arotinoids $20-23^{8 \mathrm{a}}$ the corresponding signal was observed at $\delta 6.85,6.88,6.72$, and 6.82 ,

[^2]

20. $R=\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}$


22


23
respectively, while in $Z$-arotinoid 24 a singlet occurred at $\delta$ 6.46. Also, the signal for the vinyl-substituted methyl group in $1 \mathbf{b - e}$ was a singlet at $\delta 2.28,2.27,2.31$, and 2.30 , respectively. In 20-23, this corresponding signal appeared at $\delta 2.30,2.37,2.27$, and 2.28 , respectively, while in $Z$-arotinoid $24,{ }^{8 a}$ a signal was observed at $\delta 2.23$. These data suggest an $E$ configuration for $\mathbf{1 b}-\mathbf{e}$ although X-ray diffraction data for members of $\mathbf{2 0 - 2 3}$ are not available to confirm the models.

The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data for $1 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{e}$ are given in Table III, and assignments were based, in part, upon comparisons with precursors $7,8 \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, 12,13 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, 14 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ and 15 . The development of heteronuclear correlated two-dimensional (HETCOR 2-D) NMR experiments ${ }^{13}$ permits correlation of an ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ chemical shift for a particular proton with the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR chemical shift of the corresponding carbon provided the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ or ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ spectrum can be assigned unequivocally. Using INEPT ${ }^{14}$ experiments, the assignments could be made for the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR signals in the aliphatic region for 1d. Aromatic protons $\mathrm{H}(5), \mathrm{H}(7)$, and $\mathrm{H}(8)$ gave expected splitting patterns at $\delta 7.58\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{5.7}=3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 7.51\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{5.7}=3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $J_{7,8}=9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), and $\delta 7.77\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{7.8}=9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$. Similar patterns and coupling values were found in $1 \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{e}$. With use of HETCOR 2-D experiments, ${ }^{13}$ it was possible to correlate these protons signals with those from the appropriately substituted carbon as shown in the contour plot ${ }^{18}$ for le (Figure 2). Similar assignments were possible for $\mathbf{1 b}, \mathbf{c}$ for the corresponding aromatic protons $\mathrm{H}(5,7,8)$ as well as for $\mathrm{H}(2,3)$. These data should serve as standards for related systems.

In view of the relationship of activity with the geometric arrangement at the $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ double bond ${ }^{11}$ [corresponds to the $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ double bond in retinoic acid], the stereochemistry at this bond was confirmed by a sin-gle-crystal X-ray analysis of 1 b (crystal data in Table IV). Two molecules (A and B) are present in the unit cell (Figures 3 and 4). Although many structural details are in close agreement in the two molecules, disorder is evident at $C(2)$ and $C(3)$ in molecule $A$ and at $C(22)$ in molecule $B$ as reflected in the anisotropic thermal parameters for these atoms and in the related bond angles and distances
(13) Gray, G. A. Varian Instrum. Appl. 1982, 16, 11. Gray, G. A. Org. Magn. Reson. 1983, 21, 111 and references therein.
(14) Morris, G. A.; Freeman, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 760.

Table III. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Data for the Heteroarotinoids

|  <br> 1b. $x=S$ <br> 1c. $x=0$ <br> 1d. $X=O: R=H$ <br> 1e. $X=50$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| chemical shifts |  |  |  |  |
| carbon no. | 1b | 1 c | 1 d | 1 e |
| 2 | 23.0 | 63.1 | 63.1 | 42.9 |
| 3 | 37.6 | 37.6 | 37.6 | 29.4 |
| 4 | 33.1 | 30.7 | 30.7 | 34.4 |
| 9 | 30.2 | 31.1 | 31.1 | 31.3 |
| 10 | 30.2 | 31.1 | 31.1 | 31.1 |
| 12 | 17.6 | 17.7 | 17.8 | 17.7 |
| 21 | 60.8 | 60.8 |  | 60.9 |
| 22 | 14.3 | 14.4 |  | 14.3 |
| 5 | 124.0 | 124.5 | 124.6 | 125.5 |
| 7 | 123.7 | 124.9 | 124.9 | 124.8 |
| 8 | 126.4 | 116.8 | 116.8 | 130.5 |
| 13 | 125.7 | 125.1 | 125.0 | 128.4 |
| 15 (19) | $128.9{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $129.0^{\text {a }}$ | $129.1{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $129.0^{\text {a }}$ |
| 16 (18) | $129.4{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $129.4{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $130.1^{\text {a }}$ | $129.5^{\text {a }}$ |
| 20 | 166.5 | 166.1 | 172.1 | 166.3 |
| nonprotonated | 143.0 | 153.4 | 153.5 | 147.1 |
| aromatic and | 141.7 | 143.3 | 144.3 | 144.6 |
| vinylic carbons | 139.3 | 139.4 | 139.9 | 142.2 |
|  | 139.2 | 135.7 | 135.6 | 138.6 |
|  | 131.4 | 131.3 | 131.3 | 136.9 |
|  | 128.0 |  | 126.8 | 128.7 |

${ }^{a}$ May be interchanged. All values are in ppm referenced from $\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{Si}$.

Table IV. Crystal Data for 1b

| formula | $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ | $\gamma$ | 79.98 (2) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| mol wt | 366.5 | volume | 2024.3 (10) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Mo K ${ }_{\alpha}$ | 0.71069 A | $\mu\left(\right.$ Mo K ${ }_{\alpha}$ ) | $1.65 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ |
| $a$ | 20.568 (6) | independent obs | 3463 |
| $b$ | 14.760 (3) | $R$ | 5.8\% |
| c | 7.679 (2) | space group | $P \overline{1}$ |
| $\alpha$ | 113.33 (2) ${ }^{\text { }}$ | Z | 4 |
| $\beta$ | 79.45 (2) | $D_{\text {caled }}$ | $1.202 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ |



Figure 2. Contour plot of HETCOR 2-D spectrum of 1a in the aromatic region.

Table V. Bond Angles (deg) and Distances ( $\AA$ ) for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ (1b)

|  | $\frac{\text { molecule A }}{1.778(15)}$ | molecule B | molecule A |  | molecule B | molecule A |  | molecule B <br> 1369 (12) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S1-C2 |  | 1.786 (8) | C6-C7 | 1.396 (10) | 1.390 (9) | C16-C17 | 1.380 (10) |  |
| S1-C8' | 1.761 (9) | 1.759 (9) | C7-C8 | 1.370 (12) | 1.373 (12) | C17-C18 | 1.373 (9) | 1.371 (12) |
| C2-C3 | 1.324 (18) | 1.489 (13) | C8-C8 ${ }^{\prime}$ | 1.403 (10) | 1.393 (11) | C18-C19 | 1.386 (13) | 1.386 (14) |
| C3-C4 | 1.519 (18) | 1.556 (13) | C6-C11 | 1.484 (11) | 1.494 (12) | C19-C14 | 1.399 (10) | 1.393 (11) |
| C4- $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ | 1.521 (9) | 1.533 (10) | C11-C12 | 1.482 (11) | 1.500 (8) | C17-C20 | 1.481 (13) | 1.480 (14) |
| C4-C9 | 1.537 (11) | 1.512 (10) | C11-C13 | 1.358 (11) | 1.341 (10) | C20-01 | 1.201 (10) | 1.203 (12) |
| C4-C10 | 1.501 (16) | 1.548 (13) | C13-C14 | 1.471 (12) | 1.471 (13) | C20-02 | 1.344 (10) | 1.332 (12) |
| C4'-C8 | 1.403 (10) | 1.396 (9) | C14-C15 | 1.398 (10) | 1.387 (10) | O2-C21 | 1.479 (13) | 1.448 (17) |
| C4'-C5 | 1.401 (12) | 1.426 (12) | C15-C16 | 1.387 (13) | 1.380 (14) | C21-C22 | 1.478 (13) | 1.370 (21) |
| Bond Angles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| molecule A |  |  | molecule B |  |  | molecule A | molecule B |  |
|  |  | 100.0 (6) | 102.0 (4) |  | C7-C6-C11 | 122.2 (6) | 121.8 (6) |  |
| S1 |  | 119.5 (12) | 112.4 (6) |  | C6-C11-C12 | 116.7 (7) | 117.0 (6) |  |
|  | -C4 | 122.4 (9) | 114.9 (8) |  | C6-C11-C13 | 119.8 (7) | 119.7 (5) |  |
|  | - $4^{\prime}$ | 113.8 (8) | 111.4 (5) |  | C12-C11-C13 | 123.6 (7) | 123.3 (7) |  |
|  | -C9 | 99.3 (7) | 110.4 (7) |  | C11-C13-C14 | 125.5 (7) | 128.0 (6) |  |
|  | -C10 | 111.3 (10) | 104.5 (7) |  | C13-C14-C15 | 122.2 (6) | 122.2 (7) |  |
|  | - 10 | 106.6 (8) | 110.2 (6) |  | C13-C14-C19 | $120.6(6)$ | $120.8(6)$ |  |
|  | -C9 | 110.8 (7) | 110.8 (7) |  | C15-C14-C19 | $117.3 \text { (8) }$ | $117.0 \text { (8) }$ |  |
|  | -C10 | 111.4 (6) | 109.2 (7) |  | C14-C15-C16 | 120.6 (7) | 121.0 (7) |  |
| C4 | -C8' | 124.1 (7) | 124.2 (7) |  | C15-C16-C17 | 121.0 (6) | 121.9 (7) |  |
|  | -C5 | 119.7 (6) | 119.2 (5) C1 |  | C16-C17-C18 | 119.2 (8) | 117.8 (8) |  |
|  | - $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ | 116.1 (6) | 116.6 (6) C |  | C17-C18-C19 | 120.3 (7) | 121.4 (8) |  |
|  | -C6 | 124.5 (6) | 123.4 (5) C |  | C18-C19-C14 | 121.4 (6) | 121.0 (7) |  |
| C5 | -C7 | 116.5 (7) | 117.7 (7) |  | C16-C17-C20 | 116.9 (6) | 119.1 (7) |  |
|  | -C8 | 121.7 (6) | 120.3 (7) |  | C18-C17-C20 | 128.7 (7) | 123.1 (8) |  |
|  | - $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ | 120.3 (7) | 122.1 (6) |  | C17-C20-O1 | 125.3 (8) | 124.8 (9) |  |
|  | - $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ | 120.8 (7) | 119.9 (8) |  | C17-C20-O2 | 112.5 (7) | 111.8 (8) |  |
|  | -S1 | 114.7 (6) | 114.7 (5) |  | $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C} 20-\mathrm{O} 2$ | 122.2 (9) | 123.4 (9) |  |
| C4' | '-S1 | 124.6 (6) | 125.4 (6) |  | C20-02-C21 | 114.6 (6) | 116.5 (7) |  |
|  | -C11 | 121.3 (6) | 120.4 (5) O2 |  | O2-C21-C22 | 105.9 (7) | 110.7 (12) |  |



Figure 3. ORTEP drawing of molecule $A$ in the unit cell of $1 \mathbf{b}$.
(Table IV). These data reveal clearly that the methyl carbon $\mathrm{C}(12)$ is trans to $\mathrm{H}(13)$ at the $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ double bond. The two aromatic rings [carbons $\mathrm{C}(14)$ through $C(19)$ and $C\left(4^{\prime}\right), C(5), C(6), C(7), C(8)$ and $\left.C\left(8^{\prime}\right)\right]$ subtend angles in the range of $39.42-52.72^{\circ}$ (see Table V) with the plane of $\mathrm{C}(6), \mathrm{C}(11), \mathrm{C}(12)$, and $\mathrm{C}(13)$. Consequently, the aryl rings appear nearly perpendicular to each other [ $86.37^{\circ}$ in molecule A and $84.17^{\circ}$ in molecule B]. It is interesting to compare these angles with that of $\mathbf{2 5}^{8 \mathrm{ag}}$ in


25
which the two aryl rings show a displacement angle of $71^{\circ}$ between the two planes. The sulfur-containing ring in $\mathbf{1 b}$


Figure 4. ORTEP drawing of molecule B in the unit cell of $\mathbf{1 b}$. exists in a distorted half-chair form with $\mathrm{C}(2)$ and $\mathrm{C}(3)$ displaced by approximately equal distances [ $-0.28 \AA$ for $\mathrm{C}(2)$ and $+0.47 \AA$ for $\mathrm{C}(3)$ from the plane: $\mathrm{C}(4), \mathrm{C}\left(4^{\prime}\right)$, and $S(1)$ ] in molecule $B$ where no disorder is evident in the hetero ring. In view of the activity found for $1 \mathbf{b}$, the lack of planarity for the aryl groups attached to $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ suggests that total planarity of structure may not be required for maximum chemoprophylaxis of epithelial cancer.

Pharmacological Activity of 1b-e. The pharmacological action of heteroarotinoids $\mathbf{1 b}-\mathbf{e}$ was assessed by using the standard hamster tracheal organ culture bioassay developed by Newton and co-workers. ${ }^{24,5 n}$ The assay involves the ability of a test compound in vitro to reverse keratinization in tracheal organ cultures obtained from vitamin A deficient hamsters. A compound is considered active if neither keratin or keratohyaline granules are observed or if only keratohyaline granules were absent. If both were observed, the test compound was considered

Table VI. Activity of $\mathbf{1 b} \mathbf{- e}$ in the Hamster Tracheal Organ Culture Assay ${ }^{5 n}$

| retinoid | concn, M | \% active | $\mathrm{ED}_{50}{ }^{9} \mathrm{M}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| trans-retinoic acid | $10^{-10}$ | 76.9 | $2 \times 10^{-11}$ |
|  | $10^{-11}$ | 41.7 |  |
| lb | $10^{-12}$ | 23.1 |  |
|  | $10^{-9}$ | 100 | $6 \times 10^{-11}$ |
|  | $10^{-10}$ | 53.8 |  |
|  | $10^{-11}$ | 28.6 |  |
| trans-retinoic acid | $10^{-12}$ | 33.7 |  |
|  | $10^{-10}$ | 100 | $9 \times 10^{-12}$ |
|  | $10^{-11}$ | 53.8 |  |
| 1c | $10^{-12}$ | 23.1 |  |
|  | $10^{-9}$ | 100 | $1 \times 10^{-10}$ |
| trans-retinoic acid | $10^{-10}$ | 50.0 |  |
|  | $10^{-11}$ | 28.2 |  |
| 1d | $10^{-10}$ | 83.3 | $1 \times 10^{-11}$ |
|  | $10^{-11}$ | 50.0 |  |
|  | $10^{-12}$ | 16.7 |  |
|  | $10^{-8}$ | 71.4 | $6 \times 10^{-10}$ |
| trans-retinoic acid | $10^{-9}$ | 71.4 |  |
|  | $10^{-10}$ | 18.6 |  |
|  | $10^{-10}$ | 83.7 | $1 \times 10^{-11}$ |
| le | $11^{-11}$ | 50.0 |  |
|  | $10^{-12}$ | 33.3 |  |
|  | $10^{-8}$ | 100 | $1 \times 10^{-10}$ |
|  | $10^{-9}$ | 100 |  |
|  | $10^{-10}$ | 57.1 |  |
|  | $10^{-11}$ | 11.1 |  |

${ }^{a} \mathrm{ED}_{50}(\mathrm{M})$ is the dose for reversal of keratinization in epithelium of $50 \%$ of retinoid-deficient hamster tracheas in organ culture.
inactive. Also the standard trans-retinoic acid was examined simultaneously as a control with each compound in separate experiments.

It is clear that $1 \mathbf{b}$ showed good activity (Table VI) and approximately one-half $\log$ unit less than that of all trans-retinoic acid. Heteroarotinoids $1 \mathbf{c}$ and le displayed activity of about one log unit unit less than that of the standard. The sulfoxide analogue 1 d was the least active of the four systems examined. Work is continuing in this general area since it is clear that the nature of the heteroatom does have a significant influence on the activity of the arotinoid.

## Experimental Section

All reactions carried out at room temperature were at or near $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. All reactions were stirred with a magnetic stirrer unless otherwise specified. During workup, solvents were removed with a rotary evaporator unless otherwise stated. A Varian XL-100 NMR spectrometer equipped with a Nicolet TT-100 PFT accessory or a Varian XL-300 NMR spectrometer was used. All NMR data were reported in ppm or $\delta$ values downfield from $\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{Si}$ as an internal reference. IR spectral data were obtained with a Perkin-Elmer 681 IR spectrophotometer. Melting points were determined with a Thomas-Hoover melting point apparatus and were uncorrected.

The following starting materials and special reagents were purchased from the source listed and were used without further purification unless otherwise indicated: thiophenol (Aldrich), ethyl acrylate (Aldrich), 1-bromo-3-methyl-2-butene (Columbia), acetyl chloride (Fisher), methylmagnesium chloride/THF ( 2.9 M , Aldrich), $p$-toluic acid (Aldrich), $\alpha$-bromo- $p$-toluic acid (Aldrich), stannic chloride (Baker), aluminum chloride (Fisher), and 3phenoxypropionic acid (Columbia). Ether and thiophene-free benzene were distilled from sodium prior to use. All other solvents were used without purification. All solids were recrystallized to a constant melting point in each example. All TLC work was done with silica $G 60 \mathrm{~F}_{254}$ ( $\mathrm{EM}, 0.20-\mathrm{mm}$ layer).

Ethyl 3-(Phenylthio) propionate (3). Freshly distilled ethyl acrylate ( 75 mL ) was added dropwise under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ to a stirred, ice-cold mixture of thiophenol ( $2 ; 31.5 \mathrm{~g}, 0.286 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and sodium ethoxide $(1.0 \mathrm{~g})$. The ice bath was removed, and the mixture was stirred
at room temperature for 24 h . The mixture was diluted with ether ( 30 mL ) and filtered. The ether and excess ethyl acrylate were removed (vacuum). Vacuum distillation gave $49.6 \mathrm{~g}(82.5 \%)$ of 3 as a colorless liquid: bp $115-118^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(0.2 \mathrm{~mm})$ [lit. ${ }^{15} \mathrm{bp} 117^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ( 2.5 mm )]; IR (neat) $1730-1750 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{DCCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.22\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.58\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 3.14(\mathrm{t}, 2$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SCH}_{2}$ ), $4.1\left(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 7.07-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{DCCl}_{3}$ ) $14.2\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 29.0\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2}\right), 34.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2}\right), 60.5$ $\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{C}(126.3,128.8,129.8,135.2), 171.3 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$.

2-Methyl-4-(phenylthio)-2-butanol (4). A solution of ethyl 3 -(phenylthio)propionate ( $3 ; 20.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.095 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in dry ether ( 5 mL ) was added under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ to a stirred solution of methylmagnesium chloride in THF ( $2.9 \mathrm{M}, 105 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.304 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) at a rate such that the solution boiled. The solution was then heated at reflux for an addition 36 h . The mixture was cooled to room temperature and quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$. The supernatant liquid was decanted, and the residue was washed with dry ether $(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organics were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and the ether was removed (vacuum). Vacuum distillation of the crude oil gave $14.95 \mathrm{~g}(80.1 \%)$ of 4 as a colorless liquid: bp $93-98^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ( 0.01 mm ) [lit. ${ }^{16} \mathrm{bp} \mathrm{110-113}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(0.7 \mathrm{~mm}$ )]; IR (neat) $3200-3600$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{OH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DCCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.17\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 1.66-1.84$ (m, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{SCH} 2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.38-2.47 (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}$ ), 2.88-3.05 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArSCH}, 7.04-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DCCl}_{3}\right) 28.2$ $\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2}\right), 28.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 42.4\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 70.2(\mathrm{COH}), \operatorname{Ar~C~(125.3,}$ $128.1,128.4 \mathrm{ppm})$.

3-Methyl-1-(phenylthio)-2-butene (6). ${ }^{17.18}$ A mixture of thiophenol $(2 ; 16.3 \mathrm{~g}, 0.148 \mathrm{~mol})$ and $\mathrm{NaOH}(6.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.150 \mathrm{~mol})$ in acetone ( 100 mL ) was heated at reflux under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ with vigorous stirring for 1 h . A solution of 1-bromo-3-methyl-2-butene (5; 22.3 $\mathrm{g}, 0.149 \mathrm{~mol})$ in acetone ( 20 mL ) was then added dropwise. The resulting mixture was maintained at reflux for 24 h . The mixture was concentrated to 30 mL , diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(75 \mathrm{~mL})$, and extracted with ether $(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were combined and washed with $5 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{NaOH}(3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$, and brine ( 50 mL ). After the solution was dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, the solvent was removed to leave a pale yellow liquid. Vacuum distillation gave $22.9 \mathrm{~g}(83.4 \%)$ of 6 as a pale yellow liquid: bp $76-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(0.14 \mathrm{~mm}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{DCCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.55\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.68$ $\left(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.52(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{PhSCH} 2), 5.24-5.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}\right), 7.1-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DCCl}_{3}\right) 17.6$, $29.6,32.1,119.2$, Ar C (136.7, 136.0, 129.4, 128.4, 125.7 ppm ). The liquid was used without further purification.

4,4-Dimethylthiochroman (17). ${ }^{18}$ Method I. A mixture of 2-methyl-4-(phenylthio)-2-butanol ( $4 ; 10.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.051 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ $(85 \%, 5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and benzene ( 50 mL ) was heated to reflux under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ with vigorous stirring for 20 h . During this period, $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ (3 $\times 60 \mathrm{~g}, 0.126 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added in three equal portions at $6-8-\mathrm{h}$ intervals. After cooling, the solution was decanted from the reddish-purple residue, and the residue was washed with ether $(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaCl}(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. After the solution was dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, the solvent was removed, and the residual oil was vacuum distilled to give $7.4 \mathrm{~g}(81.5 \%)$ of 7 as a colorless liquid: bp $80-85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(0.01 \mathrm{~mm}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{DCCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.29$ ( $\left.\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 1.84-1.97(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}(3)), 2.91-3.03(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}(2))$, 6.9-7.35 (m, $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DCCl}_{3}\right) 23.1\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2}\right), 30.2$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 32.9\left(\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}\right), 37.7\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $\mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{[123.8}, \mathrm{125.8}, \mathrm{126.26}$, $126.3,131.5(\mathrm{C}(4 \mathrm{a})), 141.7 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{C}(8 \mathrm{a})]$. The material was used without further purification.
Method II. A mixture of 3 -methyl-1-(phenylthio)-2-butene (6; $21.5 \mathrm{~g}, 0.120 \mathrm{~mol}), \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(85 \%, 15 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}(17.2 \mathrm{~g}, 0.121$ mol ) in benzene ( 220 mL ) was heated at reflux under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ with vigorous stirring for 20 h . After cooling, the supernatant liquid was decanted from the phosphorus-containing residue, and the residue was washed with ether ( $3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organics were
(15) Iwai, K.; Kosugi, H.; Miyazaki, A.; Uda, H. Synth. Commun. 1976, 6, 357.
(16) Montanari, F.; Danieli, R.; Hogeveen, H.; Maccagnani, G. Tetrahedron Lett. 1964, 2685.
(17) Fleming, I.; Paterson, I.; Pearce, A. J. Chem. Soc., Perkins Trans. 1 1981, 256. The preparation of allyl sulfides is discussed herein.
(18) Brownbridge, P.; Warren, S. J. Chem. Soc., Perkins Trans. 1 197̈7, 2272. This reference discusses synthetic routes to allyl sulfides.
combined and washed with $5 \% \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(2 \times 75 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(75$ mL ), and brine ( $2 \times 75 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). After the solution was dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, the solvent was evaporated, leaving a yellow oil. Vacuum distillation gave $16.3 \mathrm{~g}(75.8 \%)$ of 7 as a pale liquid: bp $80-85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(0.01 \mathrm{~mm})$. The spectral data obtained $\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right.$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR) were identical with those obtained for 7 prepared by method I.

4,4-Dimethylthiochroman-6-yl Methyl Ketone (8a). Stannic chloride ( $4.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.050 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added dropwise under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ to a stirred solution of 4,4-dimethylthiochroman ( $7 ; 6.6 \mathrm{~g}, 0.037 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and acetyl chloride ( $3.1 \mathrm{~g}, 0.039 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in dry, thiophene-free benzene ( 30 mL ). The resulting dark green solution was stirred at room temperature for 5 h and then diluted with water ( 30 mL ) and concentrated $\mathrm{HCl}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting mixture was heated to just below the boiling point for 15 min . The mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature, and the two layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with benzene ( $5 \times$ $20 \mathrm{~mL}), 5 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(2 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$, and brine $(60 \mathrm{~mL})$. After the solution was dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, the solvent was removed (vacuum), leaving a viscous brown oil. Vacuum distillation gave $4.92 \mathrm{~g}(60.3 \%)$ of 8 a as a pale yellow oil: bp 126-130 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ( 0.02 mm ); IR (neat) $1675-1685 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{DCCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.32\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 1.84-1.97(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}(3)), 2.51(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}=0\right), 2.95-3.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}(2)), 7.07(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}(8))$, 7.53 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=2 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}(7)), 7.95(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{H}(5)) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DCCl}_{3}\right) 23.1\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2}\right), 26.2\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{O}\right), 29.7(\mathrm{C}-$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 32.9\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}\right), 36.8\left(\mathrm{CSCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), \mathrm{ArC}(125.7,126.1$, $132.8,139.3,141.6), 196.7 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$; $\mathrm{MS}\left(\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{OS}\right)$ calcd 220.0922 , found 220.0922 . The compound was used without further purification.

4,4-Dimethylthiochroman-6-yl Methyl Ketone 1,1-Dioxide (18). A solution of $30 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(13 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ to a stirred solution of the sulfide $8 \mathrm{a}(0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 2.29 \mathrm{mmol})$ in glacial acetic acid ( 10 mL ). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 72 h during which time a white solid precipitated. The mixture was poured into ice water ( 25 mL ). The resulting white solid was filtered, washed with water ( 10 mL ), an air-dried. Recrystallization ( $95 \%$ ethanol) gave $0.30 \mathrm{~g}(52.3 \%$ ) of 18 as white crystals: mp 197-197.5 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( KBr ) 1680-1690 (C=O), 1280-1295 $\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right), 1130-1150 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DCCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.46(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 2.36-2.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}(3)), 2.63\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{O}\right), 3.37-3.50$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}(2)$ ), $7.91-8.04\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}\right.$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{DCCl}_{3}$ ) 26.8 $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{O}\right), 30.6\left(\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}\right), 34.5\left(\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}\right), 35.4\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 47.0$ $\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2}\right), \operatorname{Ar} \mathrm{C}(124.2,126.9,127.2,139.8,140.9,145.1), 196.6(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$; MS $\left(\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}\right)$ calcd 252.0820; found 252.0817. The melting point did not change upon repeated recrystallization. A single crystal of the material was subjected to X-ray diffraction analysis.
$\alpha, 4,4$-Trimethylthiochroman-6-methanol (13a). A solution of 4,4-dimethylthiochroman-6-yl methyl ketone ( $8 \mathrm{a} ; 4.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.018$ mol ) in dry ether ( 20 mL ) was added dropwise under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ to a stirred suspension of $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.026 \mathrm{~mol})$ in ether ( 75 mL ). The resulting mixture was heated at reflux for 24 h . Ethyl acetate was then added dropwise to destroy the excess $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$. A solution of $5 \% \mathrm{HCl}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, and the mixture was stirred for 10 min . The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with ether ( $2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were washed with $5 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. After the solution was dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, the solvent was removed, leaving $3.8 \mathrm{~g}(94 \%)$ of 13 a as a colorless oil. Crystallization (hexane) with cooling to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ gave a white granular powder: IR (melt) $3120-3640 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{OH})$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DCCl}_{3}\right) 1.3$ (s, $\left.6 \mathrm{H},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 1.39\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CHOH}\right), 1.84-2.0(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.74-2.86 (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}$ ), 2.9-3.06 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SCH}_{2}$ ), 4.71 ( $\mathrm{q}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHOH}$ ), 6.94-7.02 (m, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{H}\right), 7.30-7.36(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DCCl}_{3}\right) 23.0\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CCOH}\right), 30.2\left(\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}\right)$, $33.1\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}\right), 37.7\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 70.2$ (C(9)), 123.1, 123.6, 126.6, $130.7,141.6,142.9 \mathrm{ppm}$. The alcohol was used without further purification.
[1-(4,4-Dimethylthiochroman-6-yl)ethyl]triphenylphosphonium Bromide (14a). A solution of the alcohol 13a (0.5 $\mathrm{g}, 25.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and triphenylphosphine hydrobromide ( $0.78 \mathrm{~g}, 2.27$ mmol ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at room temperature under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ for 26 h . Removal of the solvent left a yellow oil which solidified after repeated trituration with dry ether. The resulting powder was stirred in dry ether ( 30 mL ) for 8 h , filtered, and dried [ $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{~mm})$ ] to give $0.9 \mathrm{~g}(73.1 \%)$ of 14 a as a tan powder:
mp 139-145 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DCCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.07\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}, 1.15\right.$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 1.75 (d, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}$ ), 1.80-1.88 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}(3)$ ), $2.96-3.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}(2)), 6.40-6.55\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right), 6.58$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}(7)$ ), 6.86 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}(8)$ ), 7.45 (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}(5)$ ), $7.62-7.90$ (m, $\left.15 \mathrm{H},{ }^{+} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} H_{5}\right)_{3}\right)$. The salt was used without further purification.

Ethyl (E)-p-[2-(4,4-Dimethylthiochroman-6-yl)propenyl]benzoate (1b). A solution of $n$-butyllithium in hexane ( $1.55 \mathrm{M}, 1.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.01 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ to a stirred suspension of the phosphonium salt 14 a ( $1.1 \mathrm{~g}, 2.01 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry ether ( 30 mL ). The resulting dark red mixture was stirred for 5 min . A solution of the freshly distilled aldehyde 15 ( 0.40 $\mathrm{g}, 2.24 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry ether ( 15 mL ) was then added all at once. The mixture became creamy yellow and then cream colored, and a large amount of off-white solid precipitated. After stirring at room temperature for 36 h , the mixture was filtered. The solid was washed with ether ( 50 mL ). The combined filtrates were concentrated to give a yellow oil which was dissolved in warm $95 \%$ ethanol ( 50 mL ). The resulting solution was filtered and then concentrated to 10 mL . After cooling slowly to room temperature, the resulting solid was filtered and washed with cold $95 \%$ ethanol. After drying in the air, $0.30 \mathrm{~g}(40.7 \%)$ of 1 b was obtained as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 92-93{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( KBr ) $1710-1725 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DCCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1938\left(3,6 \mathrm{H},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 1.41\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, 1.96-2.02 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $2.28\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right), 3.04-3.09$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SCH}_{2}\right), 4.4 \mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}$ ), $6.82(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}), 7.11(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}(8)), 7.21-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}(7)), 7.44(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}$ H), 7.54 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}(5)$ ), 8.07 (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{H}$ ); MS $\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right.$ ) calcd 366.1653 , found $366.1650 .{ }^{19}$ Repeated washings with alcohol did not change the melting point of the solid material. The TLC of the product 1b gave one spot: $R_{f}$ (solvent) $0.377\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right), 0.604$ ( $\mathrm{HCCl}_{3}$ ), 0.729 (dioxane).

Ethyl (E)-p-[2-(4,4-Dimethyl-1-oxothiochroman-6-yl)propenyl]benzoate (1d). A solution of $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}(0.14 \mathrm{~g}, 0.654$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added in one portion under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ to a stirred suspension of $1 \mathrm{~b}(0.118 \mathrm{~g}, 0.322 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methanol ( 10 mL ). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for an additional 36 h . A large amount of white solid precipitated during this time. The mixture was concentrated. The residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{HCCl}_{3}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and then filtered and concentrated. The resulting oil was triturated with cold hexane to induce crystallization. Recrystallization (hexane) gave 55 mg ( $44.7 \%$ ) of 1 d as a white powder: $\mathrm{mp} 91-93^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( KBr ) 1700-1715 $(\mathrm{C}=0), 1030-1040 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{~S} \rightarrow 0) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DCCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.37(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $1.41\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.51\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.84-1.94(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}(3)), 2.30\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\right), 2.48-2.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}(3))$, $3.08-3.23$ (m, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}(2)$ ), 4.41 (q, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $6.8(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\right),(\mathrm{d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{dd}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}(7)), 7.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}(5)), 7.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{H}(8)), 8.09(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}\right)$ calcd 382.1603 , found 382.1595. Repeated recrystallizations did not change the melting point.

Methyl 3-Phenoxypropionate (10). A solution of 3-phęoxypropionic acid ( $9 ; 10.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.060 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and $p$-toluenesulfonic acid $(0.6 \mathrm{~g})$ in methanol ( 250 mL ) was heated at reflux through $3-\AA$ molecular sieves for 36 h under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ in a flask equipped with a Soxhlet extractor and a condenser. The solution was allowed to cool to room temperature and then was concentrated to a volume of 50 mL , diluted with water ( 50 mL ), and extracted with ether $(2 \times 75 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with $5 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(75 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(75 \mathrm{~mL})$, and brine ( 75 mL ). After the solution was dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, the solvent was removed (vacuum). Vacuum distillation gave $9.45 \mathrm{~g}(87.1 \%)$ of 10 as a colorless liquid: bp $85-87^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(0.1 \mathrm{~mm})$ [lit. ${ }^{20} \mathrm{bp} 85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(0.4 \mathrm{~mm})$ ]; IR (neat) $1740-1750 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{C}=0) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DCCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.78(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $3.70\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right.$ ), $4.23 \mathrm{~ns}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}$ ), 6.84-7.02 and $7.18-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DCCl}_{3}\right) 34.3\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CCO}_{2}\right)$, $51.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 63.2\left(\mathrm{COCH}_{2}\right)$, $\operatorname{Ar} \mathrm{C}(114.5,120.8,129.2,158.3,171.1$ ppm).
(19) Compounds $\mathbf{1 b} \mathbf{- d}$ have just been reported in the literature, but no properties were included in the abstract; see: Klaus, M.; Loelinger, P. (Hoffmann-La Roche, F. and Co., A.-G.), Ger. Offen. DE 3316932, 1983; Appl. 12 May. 1982; Chem. Abstr. 1984, 100, 51468z.
(20) Rehberg, C. E.; Dixon, M. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1950, 72. 2205.

2-Methyl-4-phenoxy-2-butanol (11). A solution of methyl 3-phenoxypropionate ( $10 ; 7.9 \mathrm{~g}, 0.038 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in dry ether ( 20 mL ) was added dropwise under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ to a stirred solution of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{MgCl}$ in THF ( $2.9 \mathrm{M}, 40.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.11 \mathrm{~mol}$ ). The mixture was heated at reflux for 24 h , allowed to cool to room temperature, and quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$. The supernatant liquid was decanted, and the residue was washed with dry ether ( $3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic solutions were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and the solvent was removed. Vacuum distillation gave $5.35 \mathrm{~g}(76.4 \%)$ of 11 as a colorless liquid: bp $81-84^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(0.07 \mathrm{~mm})$; IR (neat) $3140-3620 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{OH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DCCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.26\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right.$, $1.95\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ ), 2.8-3.0 (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}$ ), 4.12 (t, 2 H , $\mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{OCH}_{2}$ ), 6.82-6.96 (m, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.16-7.3$ (m, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DCCl}_{3}\right) 29.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 41.6\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 64.9\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 64.9$ $\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 70.3\left(\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}\right), \operatorname{Ar} \mathrm{C}(114.3,120.8,129.3,158.3 \mathrm{ppm})$. The alcohol was used without further purification.

4,4-Dimethylchroman (12). A solution of 2-methyl-4-phen-oxy-2-butanol ( $11 ; 7.8 \mathrm{~g}, 0.043 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in nitromethane ( 50 mL ) was added dropwise under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ to a stirred suspension of anhydrous $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}(7.8 \mathrm{~g}, 0.058 \mathrm{~mol})$ in nitromethane ( 30 mL ). After stirring at room temperature for an additional 24 h , a solution of 6 M HCl $(80 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added slowly. The resulting mixture was stirred for 10 min and diluted with ether ( 50 mL ). The layers were separated, and the organic layer was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$, saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(4 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$, and brine $(4 \times 50$ $\mathrm{mL})$. After the solution was dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, the solvent was removed. Vacuum distillation of the resulting dark brown oil gave $4.35 \mathrm{~g}(62 \%)$ of 12 as a colorless liquid: bp $74-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(0.7 \mathrm{~mm})$ $\left[\right.$ lit. $\left.{ }^{21} \mathrm{bp} 93^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(10 \mathrm{~mm})\right]$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DCCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.31\left(3,6 \mathrm{H},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)$, 1.80-1.84 (m, 3H, H(3)), 4.16-4.20 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}(2)$ ), 6.78-7.29 (m, $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DCCl}_{3}\right) 30.5\left(\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}\right), 31.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 37.7$ $\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 63.0\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right)$, $\operatorname{Ar} \mathrm{C}(116.9,120.4,126.9,127.0,131.6$, $153.5 \mathrm{ppm})$.

4,4-Dimethylchroman-6-yl Methyl Ketone (8b). Anhydrous $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}(3.4 \mathrm{~g}, 0.025 \mathrm{~mol})$ was added in small portions to a solution of 4,4-dimethylchroman ( $12 ; 4.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.024 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and acetyl chloride $(2.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.025 \mathrm{~mol})$ in nitromethane ( 35 mL ) under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. After the mixture was stirred at room temperature for $6 \mathrm{~h}, 6 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}$ (35 mL ) was added slowly, and the resulting mixture was stirred for 10 min . The mixture was diluted with ether ( 40 mL ), and the layers were separated. The organic layer was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( 40 mL ), saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(4 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$, and brine $(2 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL})$. After the solution was dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, the solvent was removed, leaving a dark reddish-brown oil. Vacuum distillation gave $3.4 \mathrm{~g}(76.5 \%)$ of 8 b as a pale yellow liquid: bp $108-112{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(0.01 \mathrm{~mm})$; IR (neat) $1675-1685 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ $(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DCCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.36\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 1.83-1.87(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}(3)), 2.55\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{O}\right), 4.24-4.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}(2)), 6.83$ (d, $J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}(8)$ ), 7.71 (dd, $J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}(7)$ ), $7.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}(5)) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DCCl}_{3}\right) 26.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 30.6$ $\left(\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}\right), 30.7\left(\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}\right), 37.0\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 116.9(\mathrm{C}(8)), 127.8$, 128.16 (C(5), $\mathrm{C}(7)), 130.0,131.6$ (C(4a), C(6)), 158.0 ppm (C(8a)); MS $\left(\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ calcd 204.1150 , found 204.1153 . The ketone was used without further purification.
$\alpha, 4,4$-Trimethylchroman-6-methanol (13b). A solution of the ketone $8 \mathbf{b}(3.80 \mathrm{~g}, 0.014 \mathrm{~mol})$ in anhydrous ether ( 15 mL ) was added dropwise under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ to a stirred suspension of $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}(0.8$ $\mathrm{g}, 0.0211 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in dry ether ( 50 mL ). The mixture was heated at reflux for 24 h . After the mixture cooled to room temperature, ethyl acetate was added dropwise to destroy the excess $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$. A solution of $5 \% \mathrm{HCl}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was then added, and the resulting mixture was stirred for 5 min . The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was washed with ether $(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with $5 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ $(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. After the solution was dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, the solvent was removed, leaving a yellow oil which solidified after scratching. Recrystallization (hexane) gave 1.80 $\mathrm{g}\left(59.4 \%\right.$ ) of 13 b as a white solid: mp $70-71^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( KBr ) $3140-3640 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{OH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DCCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.31\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}\right)$, 1.43 (d, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CHOH}$ ), 1.74-1.83 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}(3)$ ), 2.4-2.44 (s, 1 $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}), 4.10-4.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}(2)), 4.76(\mathrm{q}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHOH}), 6.76(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}(8)), 7.07(\mathrm{dd}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}(7))$,
(21) Colonge, J.; LeSech, E.; Marey, R. Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1957, 776.
$7.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}(5)) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DCCl}_{3}\right) 25.0\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{O}\right)$, $30.6(\mathrm{C}(4)), 31.0\left(\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}\right), 37.6(\mathrm{C}(3)), 63.0(\mathrm{C}(2)), 70.2(\mathrm{C}(9))$, 116.9 ( $\mathrm{C}(8)$ ), 124.0, 124.3 ( $\mathrm{C}(5), \mathrm{C}(7)), 131.4,137.7$ ( $\mathrm{C}(4 \mathrm{a}), \mathrm{C}(6))$, $152.9 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{C}(8 \mathrm{a})) ; \mathrm{MS}\left(\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{1} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ calcd 206.1307, found 206.1308. Repeated recrystallizations did not change the melting point of the solid. It was used without further purification.
[1-(4,4-Dimethylchroman-6-yl)ethyl]triphenylphosphonium Bromide (14b). A solution of the alcohol 13b $(0.70 \mathrm{~g}, 3.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ and triphenylphosphine hydrobromide $(1.2 \mathrm{~g}$, 3.5 mmol ) in methanol ( 30 mL ) was stirred under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at room temperature for 24 h . The solvent was removed (vacuum), and the resulting oil was triturated repeatedly with dry ether until it solidified. The white solid was stirred in dry ether ( 30 mL ) at room temperature under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ for 4 h , filtered, and dried [110 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\sim 2 \mathrm{~mm})$ ] to give $1.45 \mathrm{~g}(80.3 \%)$ of 14 b as a white powder: $\operatorname{mp} 149-155^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DCCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.08\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.14$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $1.72-1.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}(3)), 1.83\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right)$, 4.12-4.18(m, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}(2)$ ), $6.2-6.32\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHPPh} \mathrm{H}^{+} \mathrm{Br}^{-}\right), 6.57$ (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}(8)), 6.67$ [d, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}(7)], 7.24$ [brs, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}(5)], 7.63-7.84$ [m, $15 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{P}^{+}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} H_{5}\right)_{3}$ ]. The salt was used without further purification.

Ethyl (E)-p-[2-(4,4-Dimethylchroman-6-yl) propenyl]benzoate (1c). A solution of $n$-butyllithium in hexane ( 1.55 M , $2.13 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ to a stirred suspension of the phosphonium salt $14 \mathrm{~b}(1.75 \mathrm{~g}, 3.29 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry ether ( 30 mL ). The resulting dark reddish-brown mixture was stirred at room temperature for 5 min . A solution of the aldehyde $15(0.60 \mathrm{~g}, 3.37 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry ether ( 15 mL ) was then added. The mixture changed from reddish brown to creamy yellow, and a large amount of off-white solid precipitated. After stirring at room temperature for 36 h , the mixture was filtered. The resulting solid was washed with ether ( 75 mL ), and the combined filtrates were concentrated to give a yellow oil. The oil was chromatographed through a column ( $8 \times 200 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) packed with neutral alumina (about 10 g ). The product was eluted with $5 \%$ ether/hexane ( 250 mL ). Concentration of the eluent gave a viscous oil which was dissolved in a minimum amount of boiling $95 \%$ ethanol. Cooling the solution to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and scratching of the flask with a glass rod gave $0.30 \mathrm{~g}(26.0 \%)$ of 1 c as a white granular solid: mp 72.5-73.5 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\mathrm{IR}(\mathrm{KBr}) 1710-1725 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DCCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.37\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}\right), 1.39\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, 1.81-1.87 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}(3)$ ), $2.27\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\right.$ ), 4.17-4.24 $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}(2)), 4.38\left(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.77\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\right.$ ), 6.81 (d, J = $9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}(8)), 7.26$ (dd, $J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{H}(7)), 7.41(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}(5)), 8.06(\mathrm{~d}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH})$; MS $\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right)$ calcd 350.1881 , found 350.1884. The presence of the $Z$ isomer in an oil obtained from the chromatography was indicated by the following ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR signals: $\delta$ 2.76-2.81 (m, H(3)), $2.20\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, Z \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\right), 4.16-4.20(\mathrm{~m}$, $\mathrm{H}(2)$ ), 6.44 (br s, $1 \mathrm{H} Z \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ ). Repeated washing with cold $95 \%$ ethanol did not raise the melting point of the white solid. The TLC of the product le gave one spot: $R_{f}$ (solvent) 0.305 $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right), 0.537\left(\mathrm{HCCl}_{3}\right), 0.712$ (dioxane).
(E)-p-[2-(4,4-Dimethylchroman-6-yl)propenyl]benzoic Acid (1e). The heteroarotinoid $1 \mathbf{c}(0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 0.57 \mathrm{mmol})$ was heated at reflux under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ for 4 h in a solution of $\mathrm{NaOH}(0.1 \mathrm{~g}, 2.50 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $95 \% \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. After cooling slowly to room temperature, the solution was acidified (litmus) with concentrated HCl . The resulting white solid was filtered, washed with water, and air-dried. Recrystallization ( $95 \%$ ethanol) gave $0.135 \mathrm{~g}(73.4 \%)$ of 1 c as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 183-183.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR KBr) 2390-3320 ( $\mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{CH}), 1670-1695 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{C}=0) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DCCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 1.38\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}\right), 1.84-1.9(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}(3)), 2.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\right), 4.21-4.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}(2)), 6.80(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{C}-$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\right), 6.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}(8)), 7.29(\mathrm{dd}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}(7)), 7.46$ (d, $J=3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}(5)$ ), 7.48 (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{H}$ ), $8.15(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right)$ calcd 322.1569, found 322.1570. Repeated recrystallizations did not change the melting point of the solid. The TLC of the product 1 e gave one spot: $R_{f}$ (solvent) 0.456 (EtOAc), 0.613 (dioxane), 0.523 (2-propanol).

Ethyl 4-Formylbenzoate (15). A solution of ethyl p-toluate ( $16 ; 6.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.036 \mathrm{~mol}$; prepared from $p$-toluic acid and ethanol by conventional techniques), glacial acetic acid ( 57 mL ), and acetic anhydride ( 57 mL ) in a flask equipped with a thermometer and a mechanical stirrer was cooled to $0.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an ice-salt bath. Concentrated $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(8.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added slowly to the stirred
solution. Chromium trioxide ( $10.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.10 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added in small portions over a period of 25 min . The temperature of the mixture was maintained below $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at all times. After stirring at $0-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for an addition 20 min , the mixture was poured into a beaker (two-thirds full) with ice. Cold water was then added to bring the total volume to 600 mL . The resulting dark green-brown mixture was extracted with ether ( $3 \times 250 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and the organic layers were combined. The organic layer was washed with water ( $3 \times 200 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) , $5 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(2 \times 200 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and brine ( 200 mL ). After the solution was dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, the solvent was removed, leaving the diacetate 17 as a pale yellow liquid. A mixture of the diacetate 17 , concentrated $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$, water ( 200 mL ), and $95 \%$ ethanol ( 20 mL ) was heated at reflux under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ for 45 min . The solution was allowed to cool to room temperature. After the solution was diluted with water ( 40 mL ), the resulting mixture was extracted with ether ( $3 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were washed with $5 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ $(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. After the solution was dried ( $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ ), the solvent was removed, leaving a yellow liquid. Vacuum distillation gave $3.1 \mathrm{~g}(47.6 \%)$ of 15 as a colorless liquid: bp $80-84{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(0.05 \mathrm{~mm})$ [lit. ${ }^{22} \mathrm{bp} 142{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(13 \mathrm{~mm})$ ]; IR (neat) $1705-1735 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{C}=0)$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{DCCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.42(5,3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $4.41\left(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ ), $7.87-8.22$ (pseudo $\mathrm{q}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, $\operatorname{ArH}$ ), 10.08 (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHO}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DCCl}_{3}\right) 14.2\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $61.4\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{C}(129.2,135.2,138.9), 169.2\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 191.2$ ppm (CHO).

Experimental Data for Crystal Structures of 18 and $1 \mathbf{b}$. Crystals [triclinic $95 \%$ alcohol, $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{1} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$ (18) and $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ (1b)] were mounted on a Syntex P3 automated diffractometer. Unit cell dimensions (Tables I and IV) were determined by least-squares refinement of the best angular positions for 15 independent reflections ( $2 \theta>15^{\circ}$ ) during normal alignment procedures using molybdenum radiation ( $\lambda=0.71069 \AA$ ). Data [ 8703 (18) and 7630 (1b) points] were collected at room temperature with use of a variable scan rate, a $\theta-2 \theta$ scan mode, and a scan width of $1.2^{\circ}$ below $K \alpha_{1}$ and $1.2^{\circ}$ above $K \alpha_{2}$ to a maximum $2 \theta$ value of $116^{\circ}$. Background was measured at each end of the scan for a combined time equal to the total scan time. The intensities of three standard reflections were measured after every 97 reflections and the intensities of these reflections showed less than $8 \%$ variation. Corrections for decomposition were deemed unnecessary. Data were corrected for Lorentz, polarization, and background effects. After removal of redundant data, 5373 (1,) and 3463 (1b) reflections were considered observed $[I>3.0 \sigma(I)]$. The structures were solved by direct methods by using MILTAN $80 .{ }^{23}$ Refinement
(22) Slotta, K. H.; Kethur, R. Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges. 1938, 71, 335.
(23) Main, P.; Fiske, S. J.; Hull, S. E.; Lessinger, L.; Germain, G.; DeClerq, J. P.; Woolfson, M. M., University of York, England, 1980.
of scale factor and positional and anisotropic thermal parameters for all non-hydrogen atoms was carried out to convergence. ${ }^{24}$ Hydrogen positional parameters were determined from a difference Fourier synthesis. For 18, these positional parameters and the associated isotropic thermal parameters were refined along with non-hydrogen parameters in the final cycles of refinement. For 1b, the hydrogen atoms were included in the final cycles of refinement with assigned isotropic thermal parameters of $U=$ 0.03 , but all parameters associated with hydrogen atoms were held invariant. The final cycle of refinement [function minimized $\left.\sum\left(\left|F_{\mathrm{o}}\right|-\left|F_{\mathrm{c}}\right|\right)^{2}\right]$ led to a final agreement factor of $R=6.5 \%$ (18) and $5.8 \%$ (1b) $\left[R=\left(\sum| | F_{o}\left|-\left|F_{\mathrm{c}}\right|\right| / F_{\mathrm{o}} \mid\right) \times 100\right]$. Scattering factors were taken from Cromer and Mann. ${ }^{25}$ Unit weights were used throughout.

Bioassay Procedure. The entire procedure for the assay for keratinization with and without retinoids has been clearly delineated. ${ }^{5 n}$
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